

Southern California Edison
2026-WMPs – 2026-WMPs

DATA REQUEST SET SPD - SCE - WMP 2026-003

To: SPD
Prepared by: Kyle Ferree
Job Title: Senior Advisor
Received Date: 7/21/2025

Response Date: 7/24/2025

Question 02.a-b:

In response to Question 7 of SPD-SCE-WMP2026-002, SCE provided the workpaper titled Confidential_SPD-SCE-WMP2026-002 Q7.xlsx. The “Combined” spreadsheet in this workpaper includes data on circuit segments that are scoped for undergrounding (TUG) and covered conductor (WCCP) as well as circuit segments with no system hardening mitigations. It is SPD’s understanding that the circuit segments with no system hardening are represented as blanks within the Mitigation field (Column A).

- a. Explain why many of the circuit segments that have no scoped system hardening also have no pre-mitigation driver frequency or consequence values.¹
- b. Explain why any of the circuit segments that have no scoped system hardening would have any value other than a blank or zero within the Post-mitigated Driver fields (see in particular columns CC, CD, CE, CG, CL, CO, CR, DA, DG, DH, DI, DK, DL, EB etc.).
 - i. If this is an error, explain what caused the error including, but not limited to, any details related to the formulas used by SCE in the workpaper.

¹Of the 78,213 circuit segments with no scoped system hardening, approximately 4,791 are blank for all of the pre-mitigated drivers and all of the pre-mitigated consequence values.

Response to Question 02.a-b:

CONFIDENTIAL

**The Attachment(s) Are Marked Confidential In Accordance With Applicable Law and Regulation.
Basis for Confidentiality In Accompanying Confidentiality Declaration.
Public Disclosure Restricted.**

SPD’s understanding about circuit segments with blanks in Column A is incorrect. The combined tab could also have segments that were previously hardened, had hardening planned in 2023 and 2024, or could be future hardened after 2028. The WCCP and TUG tabs had actual and theoretical scope for 2025 – 2028 only.

- a. SCE notes that only about 3% of all segments and miles do not have a risk score, either because they are missing POI or consequence values or both (see table below, and SCE notes that we may have had different values compared to SPD’s due to rounding). There are several possible reasons for this, including that the segments are no longer in the model because of system configurations or they have been undergrounded and would no longer be in our overhead distribution model.

| | Segments | Miles | % of Segments | % of Miles |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|---------------|------------|
| System Total | 146,371 | 9,477 | - | - |
| With Risk Score | 141,299 | 9,132 | 97% | 96% |
| Without Risk Score | 5,072 | 345 | 3% | 4% |

- b. There were certain formulas for the non WCCP and TUG scope in the Combined Tab that were inadvertently dragged down. This issue has been corrected and updated in the attached file, Supplemental_Updated_Confidential_SPD-SCE-WMP2026-002 Q7_Revised.xlsx. The formulas in the GRC workpaper were correct.